

# THE EVANSVILLE JOURNAL.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED  
BY WM. H. CHANDLER & CO.

The Tri-Weekly Journal is published on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday, at \$4.00 per annum, in advance.  
The Weekly Journal is published on Thursday, at \$2.00 per annum, in advance.

FOR PRESIDENT:  
**ZACHARY TAYLOR.**

**CITY OF EVANSVILLE:**  
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 19, 1848.

INDIANA WHIG CONVENTION.—We learn that the Whig State Convention which assembled at Indianapolis, closed its labors in great harmony on Wednesday last. The Convention did not make any nomination for State officers.

MR. CALHOUN'S SPEECH, which we lay before our readers to-day in *extenso*, is one of his best efforts, clear and forcible, and will be perused by all with pleasure. It is said that it was listened to by the Senate with great attention and apparent gratification, and whenever it is read we are sure that it will be received with approbation.

IT is said that the Postmaster General attends every day in the House of Representatives, and hears all that is said about him, and his management of the Department. It is an old saying that "listeners never hear any good of themselves." We are satisfied from reading the proceedings of Congress that Mr. Johnson's ears are seldom delighted with praise of himself or his management of the Department.

DECLINE ANSWERS.—The resolution which passed the House of Representatives on the 4th inst., by the overwhelming vote of 145 to 15, calling upon the President for copies of all correspondence between this government and our officers of the army and navy, and with Santa Anna, in relation to his return to Mexico in 1846, we thought would be sure to bring out the history of that matter, but it seems we were mistaken. Mr. Polk is not prepared to breast the storm of denunciation and condemnation which we have, from the first, thought would follow the publication of that correspondence, and he now calls behind the plea that it is "incompatible with the public interest," to give any information respecting the return of Santa Anna to Mexico. When the message was sent to the House, Messrs. Adams, Schuch, Tompkins, and others, severely censured the President for his conduct. The matter is bound to be light, notwithstanding Mr. Polk's opposition.

IT is said that the Committee on claims in Congress are crowded with business, and among the scores of petitions already presented are thirty and more growing out of claims incident to the war with Mexico. Some of these are nearly one hundred thousand dollars in amount, and many of them are very large.

One is for horses necessarily taken for the public service by Col. Doniphan while on his march to Chihuahua, and the claimant alleges that this seizure of his horses was the cause of still further loss of property in goods which were also taken.

Another claim is for the horses taken from the Emancipation prisoners, of which C. M. Clay and John P. Gaines were parties. These claims are but the beginning of the end. If there were many growing out of the Florida war, they will be a legion as the consequence of the war with Mexico.

THE *Gleaner* is satisfied that Senator Jones did vote for the adjournment and the mileage. The *Gleaner* publishes the vote. That's sufficient.

A telegraphic announcement from Washington, dated 13th, says the Ten Regiment Bill will certainly pass the U. S. Senate.

The court martial in the case of Lieut. Colonel Fremont was adjourned until the 24th inst., in order to give him a final hearing. This decision, which had its origin with the President, has already cost the Government seventy-five thousand dollars.

IT is said there are upwards of fifty thousand free negroes in the State of Virginia. Governor Smith writes, in his message to the Legislature of that State, that his recommendation for the removal of the whole free negro population from the State. But where are they to go? Neither the free nor the slave States will receive them. Here is a chance for the Colonization Society. If these fifty thousand souls are ever to be raised from the ignorant and debased state in which they now exist, they must be sent to Africa, where they will find ample room, a rich soil, a climate well adapted to their constitutions, and a government under which they can be free, independent, educated and respected.

GEN. TAYLOR.—The New Orleans Picayune of the 8th, says that Gen. Taylor, accompanied by Major Bliss and Capt. Garnett, arrived in that city from Baton Rouge on the day previous, and took lodgings at the St. Charles Hotel. He is in excellent health and good spirits. When he left the boat he tried to get up to the hotel without attracting observation, but the press discovered him and he was the object thereafter, of public attention and respect.

THE shipments of specie from the port of New York, during the month of December last to foreign ports, amounted to \$1,783,867.

THE WAR.—In the Senate a few days ago Mr. Crittenden, in reply to some remarks by Colonel Davis, of Mississippi, took the occasion of Congress to say: "I think that my honorable friend from Mississippi, is little mistaken in supposing that any of the parts of this war are attributable to the tardy legislation on the part of Congress. I have been here the whole time, and if any blame is to be attached to Congress for tardy legislation, of course I must come in for my share of that blame." When we think that we have been guilty of any tardiness in granting any supplies of men and money that were demanded by the Executive? We began by authorizing the Executive to transport the services of 20,000 volunteers, and that force was never exhausted until the fall of last year. There have been military resources open at all times to the President, which he might have used, and which he has exercised, by calling out men to serve during the war. This is the remark I intended to make. I am sure that the Honorable Senator meant no rebuke to the Senate, he has been more intemperately engaged, and is evidently under a misapprehension if he supposes that any such charge can possibly be made upon Congress.

WESTERN PROGRESS.—A writer in one of the Eastern papers says:—"Every thing is done in the West on a large scale. Formerly chickens were sent in coops to the New Orleans market.—Now they ship eggs from Cincinnati in barrels, set them on the hang-ho, and the chickens as well as hatched are turned out into the hold to pick up loose grain."

POWER OF WOMEN AS TO WILLS.—It has just been decided in the court of Appeals, New York, that married women cannot make wills devolving personal property.

THE cathedral of Salisbury, has as many windows as there are days in the year as many stables pillars as weeks, and as many doors as months.

IT is stated by Cien's Advertiser that the number of Catholics in Cincinnati is 24,000, or one fourth of the whole population of that city, including the suburban vicinity there are 35,000 Catholics.

## FROM HONORABLE SENATOR CALHOUN'S SPEECH OF THE UNITED STATES, JANUARY 4th, 1848, upon his Resolutions.

Resolved, That to conquer Mexico and to hold it, either as a province or to incorporate it in the Union, would be inconsistent with the avowed object for which the war has been prosecuted; a departure from the sacred policy of the Government; in conflict with the character of the war, and, in the end, subversive of our free and popular institutions.

Resolved, That no line of policy in the further prosecution of the war should be adopted which may lead to consequences so disastrous.

Mr. Calhoun said:—In offering, Senators, these resolutions for your consideration, I have been governed by the reason which induced me to oppose the war, and by the same considerations I have been ever since guided. In alluding to my opposition to the war, I do not intend to notice the reason which governed me on that occasion, further than is necessary to explain my motives upon the present. I opposed the war then, not only because I considered it unnecessary and that it might have been easily avoided, not only because I thought the President had no authority to order a portion of the territory in dispute and in possession of the Mexicans, to be occupied by our troops; not only because I believed the allegations upon which it was sanctioned by Congress were unfounded in truth, but from high considerations of policy, because I believed that the war would lead to great and serious evils to the country and greatly endanger its free institutions.

But after the war was declared, and had received the sanction of the government, I acquiesced in what I could not prevent, and which was imperative for us to acquiesce in. For this purpose, at the last session, I suggested that we should limit my course so as to give that direction to the conduct of the war as would be as far as possible, prevent the evil and danger with which, in my opinion, it threatened the country and its institutions. For this purpose, at the last session, I suggested to the Senate a defensive line, and for that purpose I now offer these resolutions. This, and this only, is the motive which governs me. I am moved by no personal or party considerations. My object is neither to sustain the Executive nor to strengthen the opposition, but simply to discharge an important duty to the country. But I shall express my opinion upon all points with boldness and independence, such as becomes a Senator who has nothing to ask, either from the government or from the people; and who should not shrink from the smallest possible amount, the evils incident to this war. But, when I come to notice those points in which I differ from the President, I shall do it with all the decorum which is due to the Chief Magistrate of the United States.

When I suggested a defensive line, at the last session, this country had in its possession through the means of its arms, ample territory, and stood in a condition to force indemnity. Before then, the successes of our arms had gained us the right to demand indemnity, and our army has ever since held all that it is desirable to hold—that portion whose population is sparse and on that account the more desirable to be held. For I hold it in reference to this war a fundamental principle, that when we receive territorial indemnity it shall be unoccupied territory.

In offering a defensive line, I did it because I believed that in the first place it was the only certain mode of terminating the war successfully. I did it also because I believed that it would be a vast saving of the sacrifice of human life; but above all, I did so because I saw that any other line of policy would expose us to a tremendous evil, which these resolutions were intended to guard against. The President took a different view. He recommended a vigorous prosecution of the war—not for conquest—but was dissuaded—but for the purpose of conquering Mexico, that is, to compel Mexico to sign a treaty making sufficient cession of territory to indemnify this Government both for the claims of its citizens and for the expenses of the war. Sir, I opposed this policy. I opposed it for other reasons, because I believed that if the war should be so successful, that there was great hazard to us at last, that the object intended to be effected by it would not be accomplished. Congress thought differently, ample provisions in men and money were granted for carrying on the war. The campaign of the year 1846, was prosecuted with vigor, and the Executive of the country could possibly have calculated. Victory after victory has followed in succession, without a single reverse. Santa Anna was repelled and defeated with all forces—Vera Cruz and the castle were captured, and the whole of the country was in our hands. We have obtained peace, but we have not obtained indemnity. No, sir; not a single object contemplated has been effected, and what is worse our difficulties are greater now than they were then, and the objects, forsooth, more difficult to reach than they were before the campaign commenced.

Now Senators have asked what has caused this complete discomfiture of the views of the Executive for which men and money were granted? It is not to be charged to our troops; they have done that which gallantry was capable of; they have been charged, but they have not been able to do more. The fact that the plan of the campaign was erroneous, that the object pursued was a mistake. We aimed at indemnity in a wrong way. If we had aimed directly to it, we had the means to accomplish it directly; they were in our hands. But, sir, we aimed at indemnity through the war. We would not reach it by treaty with Mexico, and Mexico by refusing to treat simply could defeat the whole object which we had in view. We put out of our own power and in her hands, to say when the war should terminate.

We have for all our vast expenditure of money, for all the loss of blood, and men, we have nothing, but the military glory which the campaign has furnished.

We cannot, I presume, estimate the expense of the campaign at less than 40,000,000 of dollars. (I cannot compute the sum with any degree of precision, but I believe I may say about that sum.) And between the sword and disease, many thousands of lives, probably five, six, or seven thousand have been sacrificed; and all this for nothing at all.

But it is the occupancy of a defensive line would have been as expensive as to campaign itself. The President has assigned many reasons for that opinion, and the Secretary of War has done the same. I have examined these reasons, and I find them to be improper excuses to discuss them. But I must say, with all possible deference, they are to my mind utterly fallacious. I will put the question in a general point of view, and satisfy the minds of Senators that such is the case.

The line proposed by myself, extending from the Pacific Ocean to the Gulf of California, and thence by the Gulf of California, and wilderness peopled by hostile tribes of Indians; and for its defence, nothing would have been needed beyond a few vessels of war stationed in the Gulf, and a single regiment—namely, the 1st of March to its mouth, we can properly estimate the amount of force necessary for its defence. It was a frontier between Texas and Mexico when Texas had not more than 150,000 of a population—without any standing army whatever, and very few troops. Yet for seven years Texas maintained that frontier line and that, too, when Mexico was in a more consolidated state than she is now, when her revolutions were not so frequent, her resources in money were much greater, and Texas her only opponent. Can any man believe that Mexico, exhausted as she now is, prostrated as she has been—defeated—can any man believe that it

will cost as much to defend that frontier as the last campaign has cost? No, sir, I will hazard nothing in asserting that the very interest of the money spent in the last campaign would have secured that line for an indefinite period; and that the men who have lost their lives would have been more than sufficient to defend it.

So much for the past; we now come to the commencement of another campaign; and the question is, what shall be done? The same measure are proposed. It is still "a vigorous prosecution of the war." The measures are identically the same. It is not for conquest—that is now as emphatically disavowed as it was in the first instance. The object is not to blot Mexico out of the list of nations, for the President is as emphatic in the expression of his desire to maintain the nationality of Mexico. He desires to preserve the intelligence and flourishing community, and assigns strong and cogent reason for all that. Well, sir, the question is now, what ought to be done? We are now coming to the practical question. Shall we aim at carrying on another vigorous campaign upon present circumstances, and under the same recommendations of the President. There are many and powerful reasons, stronger than those which existed at the commencement of the last campaign, for my opposition now. The cost in money will be a great deal greater. There is a bill for ten additional regiments now before the Senate, and another bill providing for twenty regiments of volunteers, has been reported, making in all, not less, I suppose, than twenty thousand troops, raising the number of troops in our army to 100,000. The Chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs can inform you,—to not much less than seventy thousand in the whole. Well, sir, the expense will be much more than that of the last campaign. It will cost not much less than \$100,000,000 of dollars.

Now, sir, what is the condition of the money market at present? Last year it was most flourishing. An unfortunate famine in Europe created a great demand for our agricultural products. The balance of trade was in our favor. If money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be remitted.—Now, if money power, as it is called, the sub-treasury, it poured in at the other. But how stands the case now? We stand now with a drain both ways. The exchanges are in our favor, and therefore, instead of gold and silver, drafts founded on exports will be